

Examination paper

PHILOSOPHY AND ETHICS - UNIT 1

Student Name:	
Student Number:	
Teacher:	

Time allowed for this paper

Reading/planning time before commencing work: ten minutes Working time for paper: three hours

Material required/recommended for this paper

To be provided by the supervisor

This Question/Answer Booklet

To be provided by the candidate

Standard materials: pens, pencil, eraser or correction fluid, highlighter and ruler. Special materials: nil

Important note to candidates

No other materials may be taken into the examination room. It is **your** responsibility to ensure that you do not have any unauthorised notes or other material of a non-personal nature in the examination room. If you have any unauthorised material with you, hand it to the supervisor **before** reading any further.

Structure of this paper

Section	Suggested working time	Number of items available	Number of items to be attempted	Marks available
Section One: Reasoning and inquiry skills	50 minutes	9	9	30
Section Two: Philosophical analysis	80 minutes	2	2	40
Section Three: Extended argument	50 minutes	5	1	30
			Total	100

Instructions to candidates

- 1. Write your answers for section 1 in the spaces provided in this paper. Use a blue or black pen only.
- 2. You must confine your responses to the items and to follow all instructions specific to each item.
- 3. Spare answer pages may be found at the end of this booklet if you need more space to answer. Please indicate in the original answer space where the answer is continued.

Section	n One: Reasoning and Inquiry Skills	(30 Marks)
Attempt	all questions in this section.	
Allow ap	oproximately 50 minutes for this section.	
Part A)		4 marks
Questic	on 1	[1 mark each]
Classify	each of the following passages as description, narration, expl	anation or argument.
i)	When online forums were created, people flocked to join the	em.
ii)	The best chicken nuggets are the ones with no chicken at a	all.
iii)	Whenever you are upside down the earth finally gets to b we do care about the earth being up the right way so, we sho	•
iv)	Trees are often seen above ground because they grow tow	vards their Sun God.
Part B)		11 marks
Questic	on 2	[6 marks]
Identify	the premise(s) (3 marks) and conclusion(s) (3 marks) in the fo	llowing arguments.
i)	Because smartphones isolate and distract us and there has in anxiety/depression levels since their development this m cause anxiety and depression.	
Premise	e(s):	
Conclus	ion(s):	
ii)	Philosophy can be difficult to do under time pressure as necessary for philosophy, takes time.	
Premise	e(s):	

Conclusio	n(s):
iii)	Eating increasingly spicy hot chicken wings while doing an interview is hard work and it's tempting to chicken out but you should instead, imagine yourself as a lion. That is why you need to take large bites of the increasingly spicy hot chicken wings if you're being interviewed.
Premise(s	s):
Conclusio	n(s):

Question 3 [5 marks]

Circle the inference indicators in the following arguments.

- i) I wish we could go back to the days when teachers used 'chalk and talk' given that I like to walk and talk and it kind of reminds me of that.
- ii) If I wanted to get rid of a husband I did not like, I would open up an exotic animal park because a large cat's (e.g. lions and tigers) stomach can dissolve everything in a human body. As Donny is really starting to get on my nerves, I'm therefore going ahead with my plans to build an exotic animal park.
- iii) The night after a long-drawn-out bongo drum session requires a hearty meal and a wide range of beverages. It is for this very reason that Lil' Pebbles, compatriot to us all, should sit calmly before a table full of 'requirements' and replenish his rhythmic energies.

Part C) 6 marks

Question 4 [3 marks]

- i) Number (chronologically) and bracket the statements.
- ii) Circle the inference indicator(s).
- iii) Underline the major conclusion(s).

When I was skating the streets during lockdown it was quiet and open and hence felt beautiful and more expansive than home. Many years later those streets still hold those qualities even though they are filled with activity again. It is because of this that I know that if bad times were to come again, then perhaps they might still leave me with a more magical world.

Question	n 5 [3 marks]
What is t	ne inferential strength of the following argument? Justify your answer.
i)	Number (chronologically) and bracket the statements.
ii)	Circle the inference indicator(s).
iii)	Underline the major conclusion(s).
historical	there is elation and pleasure. In defeat there is misery and pain]. But in honesty the background that bring about victory or defeat show no reason to think one better other. That is why, desiring either is irrational.
Part D)	6 Marks
Question	n 6 [2 marks]
i)	Is the following inference an example of inductive or deductive reasoning?
ii)	Explain why.
All of 202	0 so far has been terrible so clearly the whole of 2020 will be a bad year.
Question	n 7 [2 marks]
i)	Is the following inference an example of inductive or deductive reasoning?
ii)	Explain why.
conversa	of mine values good conversation and if you're the sort of person that values good tion then there is only one place to go: The Midnight Gospel. Therefore, my friend to to The Midnight Gospel.

Question	າ 8	[2 marks]
i)	Is the following inference an example of inductive or deductive reasoning	g?
ii)	Explain why.	
	ys have passed since I've showered or shaved. Time is slowing down. I I must be caught inside during a lockdown.	For these
Part E)		3 Marks
Question	ı 9	2 marks]
Give the f	formal name for the following forms of reasoning.	
i)	I'm not famous because if you're famous you shouldn't get sick and I'm pright now.	oretty sick
ii)	If I work from home, I get way more work done. I've been forced to work fr lately, so I've been getting heaps more work done.	om home
iii)	Time is up for our friend Nugg-nugg. If he made it out alive then we because he had enough lollipops hidden in his pockets. But Nugg-nugg didn't have the right amount of lollipops hidden in his pockets.	

Section Two: Philosophical Analysis

(40 Marks)

This section contains **two** questions. Answer both questions.

Suggested working time for this section is 80 minutes.

Question 10 – Community of Inquiry

20 marks

In the following dialogue, you are required to:

• summarise (2 marks)

• clarify (6 marks)

• and critically evaluate (12 marks)

the contributions of each participant

Kat- I like your new Jacket! It's very...distinctive! What is it for?

Jarad – Thank you! I'm pleased you like it. It's the new me. I've just bought a new boat, so I need to buy the clothes and the accessories to go with it. *Boating Today* says that the Jacket on 'on trend' and is this season's must wear at the Boat Harbour. I'm a 'boatie' now!

Kat – Well.. it's certainly a different look for you. But I'm worried that you will lose sight of who you really are. I'm worried that after your clothes have changed, your personality will change too.. and then you'll have a whole new group of friends and then I won't even know you any more!

Jarad – What do you mean? This is still me! It is just a new part of me. It is a different me that is being influenced by the Boating Community. It's allowing me to be a better version of me so I can learn new skills, make new friends and improve myself. Therefore still 'me'. But I'm also hoping that I can bring some of myself to the Boating community too.

Kat – I can't take that argument seriously –the boaties are so ill-informed! You're already one of THEM! The 'real' you is one that exists apart from crazy communities like this that make you buy nasty clothes and change your whole lifestyle. You need to keep your own opinions and values; be independent and self-sufficient. That's who you really are.

Jarad – I think there is some truth in what you say - but it is completely unrealistic to expect us to not be changed by anyone! The real us is the part of us that makes choices based on the influences you describe. And this is my choice. I am a 'boatie'.

Section Two: Philosophical Analysis (continued)

Question 12 – Passage Analysis

20 marks

Choose one (1) of the following three passages and

•	summarise	(2 marks)
•	clarify	(8 marks)
•	and critically evaluate	(10 marks)

the topic in the passage

1. On Gender, Race and Class

Access to justice is often linked to gender, race or class. This can be seen in the way that promoting men over women enforces gender inequality. This is because providing one gender with a higher status over another results in unfair outcomes. In a similar way, Indigenous groups experiencing higher levels of incarceration and lower life expectancy is a result of discriminatory policies based on race. In addition, higher university fees preclude the lower classes from applying, which limits their ambition and deeply entrenches economic disadvantage. As we can see, these factors all contribute to unequal opportunities. To correct these inequalities, society must have a process of redistribution that benefits these disadvantaged groups and provides for those in need. All of this shows us that, all societies should focus on needs-based justice.

2. Contractual and Non-contractual relationships

It is a well-known fact that society is formed around the notion of a social contract, in which all members agree to adhere to the burdens imposed by the group. They do so willingly in an effort to improve their own lives. Even if people don't explicitly agree to these burdens, by accepting the benefits of society an individual implicitly agrees to the expectations of that society. This concept is known as tacit consent and can be seen in the way that members of society happily follow stricter rules under the social contract when it is of individual benefit to do so. For example, during the recent Covid-19 crisis, Australians have willingly accepted stricter restrictions on freedom of movement. In normal times this would be considered a severe infringement of civil liberties but these changes have been accepted without complaint due to the safety it provides. This shows us that most people either explicitly or implicitly agree to adhere to the demands of the social contract out of self-interest.

3. Inductive/Deductive

In philosophy, we should always aim for deductive arguments over inductive arguments. There are multiple reasons for this. Deductive arguments can demonstrate the truth of their conclusion. For example, the argument that All X's are Y's, therefore, X is a Y, is a watertight argument that is impossible to refute. By virtue of being inductive, inductive arguments cannot demonstrate their conclusion. There could be strong reasons to infer a conclusion from an inductive argument but since it does not necessitate the conclusion we should avoid inductive arguments like the plague. Why would you want to make an inductive argument that doesn't entail the truth of your conclusion, when a deductively valid argument will always produce a true conclusion? Also, another reason to prefer deductive arguments over inductive arguments is that deductive arguments are much harder to refute, whereas it is easy to criticize inductive arguments.

Section Three: Extended Argument

(30 Marks)

This section contains **five (5)** questions. Answer **one (1)** question only. Write your answer in the spaces provided.

Suggested working time for this section is 50 minutes.

Choose **one** (1) of the following five questions. Argue for or against the statement in the question, giving clear definitions, examples and reasons.

Question 13

If you want to live the good life, you need to pursue virtue and flee vice.

Question 14

I am my brain.

Question 15

Human beings are completely free agents.

Question 16

Human infants are only potential persons.

Question 17

Robots are capable of emotions.

_	
-	

_		
